SCRCA Project Visual Assessment Report



<u>Before</u> setting-off to conduct an on-site visual assessment, review the relevant *Location Summary* (including any previously completed *Assessment Reports*) and all relevant SCRCA Project Team pages. You may also find it helpful to print-out a copy of the *Location Summary* for reference.

Section 1: Structure details																
1.1: Location ID	1.2: Location Type			1.3: Grid Reference						1.4: Location C: (side)						
1.5: Brief description of structure																
Section 2: Visual Assessment Details (all assessments)																
2.1: Date of assessment			2.2: Na	me of as	ssessor	•										
2.3: Method of assessment Assessed from low-quality images taken from a train (includes cab-view video) Assessed off-site using good quality photographs taken distance of approximate during a site-visit image.																
2.4: Current use ☐ Railway operations ☐ Residential ☐ Domestic, non-residential ☐ Commercial ☐ Museum ☐ Conserved ☐ Disused ☐ Relocated (Moved) ☐ Demolished ☐ Unknown																
2.5: Current condition A = Good B = Fair C = Poor D = Very bad E = Earthworks / foundations only See overleaf for definitions / explanations of these terms. C = Poor F = No trace above ground X = Assessment not possible																
2.6: Condition trend Deteriorating Stable Improving Nothing to compare it to (first assessments only) See overleaf for definitions / explanations of these terms. For 'Deteriorating' structures, record details in section 4 overleaf.																
2.7: Photographs Are recent photographs / video stills available to accompany this assessment? Yes Quantity If 'no', please state the reason (e.g. 'structure not visible from a publicly accessible location') in the 'Additional notes / comments' box overleaf.							0									
2.8: Is there any interpretation material on-site? Yes No If 'yes', please provide details of the form (e.g. display board), content and condition of this material in the 'Additional notes / comments' box overleaf. 2.9: Information review Is the information already stored Yes in the database accurate? No If 'yes', please provide details (i.e. what action is recommended and why) in the 'Additional notes / comments' box overleaf. 1.0: Follow-up action Is urgent follow-up action Is urgent follow-up action required? If 'yes', please provide details (i.e. what action is recommended and why) in the 'Additional notes / comments' box overleaf.									t e							
Section 3: Construction materials used (first assessments only - tick as appropriate; if in doubt, use '?')																
	Rendered Grit-	stone Lime- stone	Red sand- stone	Brick	Concrete	Slate	Fired clay tiles	Metal	Timber	Plastic	Glass	Roofing felt	Other			

Section 3: Construction materials used (first assessments only - tick as appropriate; if in doubt, use '?')														
	Rendered	Grit- stone	Lime- stone	Red sand- stone	Brick	Concrete	Slate	Fired clay tiles	Metal	Timber	Plastic	Glass	Roofing felt	Other
Bridge spans / arches														
Bridge voussoirs & keystones														
Walls (incl. piers & buttresses)														
Wall features (corner, reveal, string, etc)														
Coping / capping stones														
Lintels & sills														
Doors & windows (including frames)														
Roofs														
Chimney stacks / flues														
Barge-boards														
Rainwater goods														
Other														

Section 4: Additional notes / comments
If additional space is required, use plain paper and head each sheet with the Structure ID, sheet number and date. No. of additional sheets =
Explanation of the condition codes / categories used for visual assessments

NB: The structure assessments conducted as part of the SCRCA Project are **quick visual assessments only**. They are usually carried-out from a distance and some are carried-out without a site visit using only photographs and / or video-stills). **These structure assessments are NOT detailed structural or archaeological surveys and they must not be used or interpreted as such.**

Current Condition

- **A Good:** Appears (from a quick visual inspection) to be structurally sound, weather-tight and with no significant repairs needed. No follow-up action required. Allow the standard interval to pass before conducting the next assessment.
- **B Fair:** Appears (from a quick visual inspection) to be structurally sound, but in need of minor repairs or showing signs of a general lack of maintenance. No follow-up action required in the short-term, but it may be advisable to bring-forward the date of the next assessment.
- **C Poor:** There is clear visual evidence of deteriorating building materials (masonry, concrete, wood, metal, etc) and / or a leaking roof and / or defective water goods and / or there has been a fire or other disaster which has clearly affected part of the building. Consider options for follow-up action in the medium term. Consider bringing-forward the date of the next assessment.
- **D Very Bad:** There has been structural failure or there are clearly visible signs of structural instability; and / or building materials are in a state of dilapidation / rot; and / or there has been serious loss of significant areas of roof-covering leading to major deterioration of the interior; and / or there has been a major fire or other disaster affecting most of the building. Consider options for both short- and longer-term follow-up action. Consider bringing-forward the date of the next assessment.
- **E Earthworks only:** The structure has either collapsed or been wholly or substantially demolished, although its location can be identified by remaining earthworks and / or rubble and / or foundations. No follow-up action required once the remains have been recorded and photographed.
- **F No trace:** The structure has been demolished or removed completely there is no trace of the building / structure above ground-level and no visible earthworks or foundations can be discerned that might indicate its location. No follow-up action required once the site has photographed.
- X Assessment not possible: The structure could not be assessed at the time of the visit as it (or its site) could not be seen (or could not be seen clearly enough) from the nearest publicly accessible location.

Note concerning stabilised structures: For disused structures that have been stabilised as part of conservation works, the current condition should be based on the condition immediately after stabilisation. For example, the condition of a roofless lineside hut whose walls have been stabilised should be graded 'A' if the walls (including capping) are still in good condition, even though the structure now has no roof. Details of any conservation / stabilisation work done for such structures should already be on-file, but if this is not the case, please give details in the 'Additional notes / comments' box above.

Condition Trend

- I Improving: there is a visible improvement in the condition of the structure since the previous visit(s).
- **D Deteriorating:** the condition of the structure has deteriorated since the previous visit(s) (this may be due to inadequate maintenance and / or inappropriate repairs / alterations, fire, vandalism, etc). Relevant details are to be included in the 'Additional notes / comments' section.
- S Stable: the structure shows no sign of either deterioration or improvement.